PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY PCT JOHN K. HARROP DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WRITTEN OPINION **SUITE 300 SOUTH** WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 29 MAY 2001 (day month year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months 5287.01 from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US00/09542 11 APRIL 2000 13 APRIL 1999 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 7/173 and US Cl. 725/87: Applicant **DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS** 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion H **Priority** Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited VII: Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this When? Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 13 AUGUST 2001 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks CHRISTOPHER GRANT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 305-4755

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

1. B	asis of	the opinion							
1. Wit	h regard	to the elements of the intern	ational application *						
x		ternational application as							
	the description								
X		1-74		13 (71)					
		NONE		-					
			, filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand					
			, mos with the letter of						
x	the cla	ims:							
	pages			, as originally filed					
	pages	NONE	, as amended (together with	any statement) under Article 19					
	pages	NONE		, filed with the demand					
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of						
[ماله مناه								
X		wings: 1-53							
	pages			_ •					
	pages pages		El-Jacio de Las C	, filed with the demand					
	pages .		, filed with the letter of						
X	the seq	uence listing part of the d	escription:						
لسسا	pages	1101		as originally filed					
				filed with the demand					
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of	, mod with the demand					
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).									
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:									
	contained in the international application in printed form.								
	filed to	gether with the internation	onal application in computer readable form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
Ш	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writen sequence listing has been furnished.								
4. X	4. X The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
	X th	e description, pages	NONE						
	\mathbf{x}	e claims, Nos.	NONE						
		e drawings, sheets/ fig	NONE						
5. 🖂		- -							
This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).									
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".									

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

YES

PCT/US00/09542

V.	 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement 				
l.	statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	VEO	

Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE

Claims 1-109

Claims 1-109 NO

Industrial Applicability (IA)

Claims 1-109

Claims NONE

NO

2. citations and explanations

Claims 1-109 novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hendricks.

Considering claims 1, 34, 64 and 71, Hendricks discloses a method for ordering and distributing electronic books comprising:

- (1) receiving a data stream representing a book (from 282 to 250, figure 1);
- (2) processing the data stream comprising:
 - (a) encrypting (figure 5: step 504, page 10, lines 9-20, page 11, lines 10-11);
 - (b) add error correction (pages 11, lines 10-11 and step 504);
 - (c) convert (step 508);
 - (d) compress (MPEG data, figure 18b);
 - (e) multiplex (1064, figure 18b);
- (3) broadcasting (208, figure 2)
- (4) displaying (figure 14b-14e)
- (5) receiving..order (figure 14b-14e, page 25);
- (6) generating, sending and receiving authorization signal (pages (7) demultiplexing, decrypting and decompressing are all corresponding and necessary steps to the multiplexing, encrypting and compressing at the transmitting center stations.

Claims 2-33, 35-63, 65-70 and 72-109 are limitations disclosed and/illustrated throughout the Hendricks reference.

WO 95 15649 A (HENDRICKS) 08 June 1995, whole document